

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan.

91.520(a)

Priority continues to be placed on increasing youth engagement and providing affordable housing services for the underserved. Other activities focused upon are job training/job creation, family economic stabilization, homeless prevention, HIV/AIDS and senior/disabled services. The City of Hartford, under the leadership of Mayor Luke Bronin, staff, stakeholders and the community continues to carry out the goals to meet to the needs of Hartford's low and moderate-income residents.

Examples of the major initiatives and highlights during Year One includes:

Implementation of the Promise Zone designation to North Hartford – a 3.11 square-mile area encompassing the Clay Arsenal, Northeast and Upper Albany neighborhoods and home to approximately one fifth of Hartford's residents. Since receiving a Promise Zone designation, the City of Hartford has already engaged over 500 residents, connected with 50 local non-profits, and with use of the Promise Zone preference points, a benefit to the federal designation, secured over \$12.9 million in grants from five different federal agencies. These resources will provide targeted fair housing enforcement, teen pregnancy prevention and education, increased access to healthy foods, youth employment opportunities and other efforts.

Mayor Luke Bronin Youth Service Corps, which provided two hundred Hartford's unemployed youth an opportunity to earn a paycheck while strengthening neighborhoods began in July 2016. As a Youth Service Corps Member, the youth will retain yearlong, part-time employment with flexible scheduling, engage in community service-based projects designed to visibly impact Hartford neighborhoods and perform tasks for the elderly. The youth's pay is minimum wage, working 40 hours for eight weeks during the summer and 10 hours for 20 weeks during the school year.

Improving housing and economic development conditions, such as a total of \$1,008,697.00 in closed transactions that occurred in support of 9 newly constructed and rehabbed homeownership units. HOME assisted homeownership units create affordability for some homebuyer households earning less than 60% Area Median Income. Also expanding and supporting programs that eliminate blight in our neighborhoods and to help homeowners fix their housing, such as the Livable and Sustainable Neighborhoods Initiative and Rebuilding Together Hartford.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Business Improvement Loans and Grants	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Facade treatment/business building rehabilitation	Business	20	20	100.00%	2	0	0.00%
Business Improvement Loans and Grants	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	100	20	20.00%	20	20	100.00%
Code Enforcement	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$	Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care	Household Housing Unit	10000	0	0.00%			
Community Facilities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	125000	1	0.00%	124000	1	0.00%
Construction/ Renovation of Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	200	0	0.00%	39	0	0.00%
Disabled Services	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	200	3673	1,836.50%	40	3673	9,182.50%

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Family Economic Stabilization	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	1500	200	13.33%	300	200	66.67%
Fire Protection Equipment	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	125000	0	0.00%			
Homebuyer Financial Assistance	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	0	5		0	5	
Homebuyer Financial Assistance	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	200	0	0.00%	35	0	0.00%
Homelessness	Homeless	CDBG: \$62000 / ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	250	0	0.00%	50	0	0.00%
Homelessness	Homeless	CDBG: \$62000 / ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	10000	0	0.00%			
Homelessness	Homeless	CDBG: \$62000 / ESG: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	0	0		2275	0	0.00%

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Homelessness	Homeless	CDBG: \$62000 / ESG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	375	0	0.00%	75	0	0.00%
Housing Counseling	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	140		250	140	56.00%
Housing Counseling	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	1000	0	0.00%			
Housing Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	0	0		17	0	0.00%
Housing Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	250	46	18.40%	100	46	46.00%
Housing Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	300	0	0.00%			
Job Training/Job Creation	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	84		90	84	93.33%

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Job Training/Job Creation	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	100	160	160.00%	20	160	800.00%
Microenterprise Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	75	144	192.00%	10	144	1,440.00%
Non-Housing Activities	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	60		20	60	300.00%
Non-Housing Activities	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	Other	Other	600	0	0.00%	60	0	0.00%
Permanent Housing Facility Operation Costs	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	HIV/AIDS Housing Operations	Household Housing Unit	50	41	82.00%	20	41	205.00%
Public Services-Other	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	1000	50	5.00%	100	50	50.00%
Senior Services	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	5000	3815	76.30%	1000	3815	381.50%
Short-term Facility Operating Costs	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	HIV/AIDS Housing Operations	Household Housing Unit	300000	0	0.00%	60	0	0.00%

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Short-term Facility Operating Costs	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	Other	Other	0	0				
Short-Term Rental, Mortgage & Utility	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	Other	Other	300	0	0.00%	10	0	0.00%
Technical Assistance for Businesses	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	500	93	18.60%	125	93	74.40%
Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	250	67	26.80%	50	67	134.00%
Youth After School and Vacation Activities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	5000	1073	21.46%	1000	1073	107.30%
Youth Arts Enrichment	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	3000	155	5.17%	600	155	25.83%
Youth Wellness and Stability	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	1000	3549	354.90%	200	3549	1,774.50%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, *giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.*

Activities that were funded through Hartford's Program Year 41 FY 2015-2016 Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG) notable accomplishments for highest priority activities include:

Number of new jobs created or retained

- 84 created - CDBG Entitlement
- 160 retained - CDBG Entitlement

Number of existing owner-occupied rehabilitation completed

- 46 houses rehabilitated - CDBG Entitlement

Number of rehabilitated rental units completed

- 59 rental units - CDBG Housing Preservation Revolving Loan Fund

Number of new homeowners who received down payment assistance

- 29 new homeowners – HOME HouseHartford

Number of individuals/families who received housing counseling

- 140 – resulting in 29 new homeowners - CDBG Entitlement

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	HOPWA	ESG
White	684	68	0	1178
Black or African American	1,172	78	0	1367
Asian	25	0	0	19
American Indian or American Native	6	0	0	71
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	44
Total	1,887	146	0	2679
Hispanic	637	54	0	986
Not Hispanic	1,250	92	0	1811

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG		14,534,012	3,081,788
HOME		5,425,884	906,386
HOPWA		4,336,600	687,576
ESG		1,236,232	

Table 3 – Resources Made Available

Narrative

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
PARKVILLE	10		

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	0
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	0
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	0
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	0
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	0

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
7,190	1,327,810	1,323,786	0	11,215

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	2,156,169	0	0	1,919,868	236,301	0
Number	7	0	0	6	1	0
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			
Number	0	0	0			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0			
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			

Table 8 – Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	7	0	0	6	1	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition

Parcels Acquired		11	2,409,000			
Businesses Displaced		1	0			
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0	0			
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		59	364,600			
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	50	10
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	105	116
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	60	27
Total	215	153

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	110	4,949
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	40	30
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	65	122
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	0	40
Total	215	5,141

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

For FY 15-16 the City's HouseHartford Downpayment Assistance program experienced a 5-month shutdown due to lack of existing H.O.M.E. funds. The number of households supported through the acquisition of existing units goals were 50 with an outcome of 40 households being supported.

The City of Hartford expected to see the sale of 31 units at Dutchpoint Townhomes project, 3 homes for Capewell Phase I, and 8 homes for Capewell Phase II for a total of 42 units. The number of non-homeless households to be provided affordable housing units goals were 161 with an outcome of 116 units.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

Due to decreased homeownership activity in FY15-16, the Division of Housing plans to lower its outcomes forecast for future annual plan reporting as it relates to HOME and HouseHartford homeownership activities.

While activity involving the creation of HOME funded rental was brisk in FY 15-16, project completions for much of said activity will occur in FY 16-17. As a result, the annual plan for FY 16-17 as it relates to this particular activity will remain unchanged.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	10	13
Low-income	19	16
Moderate-income	14	28
Total	43	57

Table 13 – Number of Persons Served

Narrative Information

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

There are several homeless outreach teams serving Hartford, including South Park Inn, ImmaCare, Community Health Resources (PATH Team), Chrysalis Center (CABHI) and Center Church. The Homeless Outreach and Positive Engagement (HOPE) Team of clinical staff, now working in all the Hartford shelters, also provide increased engagement and supports for chronically homeless individuals throughout the shelter and street outreach systems. In addition, Community Navigators do outreach to help get chronically homeless individuals document ready for housing are also helpful in meeting the needs of this population. The homeless outreach teams, in-reach teams, and navigators gather monthly with the area soup kitchens to case conference difficult cases, and to ensure that those sleeping in areas not meant for human habitation are included on our regional By-Name-List and to make sure they have been assessed for rapid rehousing and permanent supportive housing. New policies have been put into place to prioritize shelter placement for those who have been unsheltered.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

There are many emergency shelters in Hartford, Asylum Hill (Salvation Army and YWCA), Frog Hollow (ImmaCare), Sheldon-Charter Oak (McKinney Shelter and Open Hearth), North End (House of Bread), and South Green (Mercy Housing St. Elizabeth House and South Park Inn). These shelters have worked with Journey Home to implement a coordinated entry system and now use a single prioritized waitlist for shelter placements and use prioritization to ensure that those who are most vulnerable, are able to access shelter. Interval House provides emergency shelter to victims of domestic violence. Community Renewal Team, YWCA, Mercy Housing and Shelter, and My Sister's Place are in the process of converting their transitional housing programs into permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing programs. Open Hearth Association still have transitional housing for those who are seeking sobriety. During the winter months, the City of Hartford funds overflow emergency shelter. A No-Freeze Shelter for 15 women and children is located at the Salvation Army Marshall House and is funded by Hartford Foundation for Public Giving, with support from the City of Hartford's general fund. Additionally, Journey Home and Salvation Army Marshall House provide hotel/motel accommodations for families in the winter, and Asylum Hill Congregational Church opened up additional overflow capacity last winter.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

Mercy Housing and Shelter has converted their transitional housing program into a diversion center and helps to prevent individuals and families from becoming homeless. For people being released from prison, the CT Department of Correction's (DOC) re-entry model focuses on services to facilitate successful community adjustment. DOC develops Offender Accountability Plans and Discharge plans to address housing, identification and community resources. DOC funds DSS eligibility specialists to help obtain benefits. DOC has increased halfway house beds for parole and community services, and contracts with agencies for residential and non-residential community services. There is also a program called the Connecticut Council on Reentry that matches frequent users of the correctional system and the homeless system and provides supportive housing to try to reduce homelessness and recidivism. The Connecticut Coalition to End Homelessness is working with the CT Department of Correction to improve the discharge planning process. The area physical health care and behavioral health care providers meet twice a month as a Community Care Team to case conference frequent users of the emergency room and inpatient facilities. The major hospitals are exploring becoming trained in Coordinated Assessment to be more integrated with the homeless service system. The Connection provides emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing for youth being discharged from foster care and other youth facilities and other homeless and runaway youth. For children exiting foster care, services are provided as a result of the John H. Chafee Independence Program. Services include financial assistance, housing, counseling, employment, education, and other support/services to former foster care clients, ages 18-21. As a way of preventing discharge into homelessness as well as preventing patients from staying in hospitals longer than they need, a "Gridlock" meeting convenes twice a month to discuss difficult discharge cases. The meeting is attended by the two City hospitals, the three area lead mental health authorities and both the state and local substance use providers to discuss cases with disposition concerns.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

All permanent supportive housing is prioritized for chronically homeless individuals and families. The Greater Hartford Coordinated Access Network (GH-CAN) has adopted and implemented HUD prioritization guidance for chronically homeless individuals and families. Journey Home serves as the backbone agency for the GH-CAN and tracks progress towards ending chronic homelessness and has documented a 40% decrease in chronic homelessness since March of 2015 in Greater Hartford. Journey Home helps the GH-CAN to maintain a By-Name-List of all homeless individuals and families who complete the VI-SPDAT, and to track the histories of homelessness of these individuals and families. Most supportive housing and rapid rehousing programs in Greater Hartford use the By-Name-List for matching chronically homeless individuals and families, and families with children to their openings. Hartford has reached functional zero for homeless veterans and their families, and continue to maintain functional zero by partnering with the VA and with SSVF providers like CRT and Veterans' Inc. to ensure that veterans who are at risk of becoming homeless are immediately identified and matched to housing programs. The Connection provides housing to unaccompanied youth. There are now weekly case conferencing meetings to problem solve for any individuals and families in rapid rehousing and permanent supportive housing who are facing eviction to prevent them from becoming homeless again.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

In 2015, the Housing Authority of the City of Hartford (HACH) awarded 19 Project Based Vouchers to a mixed-income project in the Blue Hills section of Hartford (northwest Hartford) to replace aging low-income housing stock with mixed-income units. The planned redevelopment of two aging state-financed projects, Bowles Park and Westbrook Village, is underway. Developers have been selected for each.

The HACH is a successful grantee of funds for the Juvenile Reentry Assistance Program (JRAP) and has entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Center for Children's Advocacy, Inc. Efforts are being made to expand housing, employment and/or educational opportunities for current public housing residents up to 24 years of age who have a criminal record and former public housing residents who are no longer living in public housing due to their criminal record.

The HACH has entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Chrysalis Center to provide assistance with the housing of homeless individuals and for continuing supportive services for those individuals following their placement.

Three Resident Service Coordinators conduct outreach to the elderly and families with disabilities to ensure that they have access to all services for which they are eligible. In addition, Property Managers and Occupancy Specialists make referrals to the Resident Service Coordinators when they identify a resident with unmet needs.

Upon the opening of the newly constructed Nelton Court Apartments, AMP 035, and the redevelopment of a property on Nelson Street, AMP 036, the HACH implemented a non-smoking policy for the developments, and signage was posted throughout. Smoking is not permitted anywhere on the property, including apartments, common areas, and the surrounding grounds. Returning residents and applicants were advised of the policy at the time of their interview, and most reacted favorably. Eligible applicants who preferred not to reside in a smoke-free environment were provided with other housing options. The HACH will consider expanding its non-smoking policies to other development sites.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

The HACH successfully completed its homeownership program in 2016. The chart HAHACHtable below illustrates the number of families given the opportunity to become first-time homeowners.

	<u>Charter Oak Terrace</u>	<u>Stowe Village</u>
Total number of units	131	180
No. homeownership units	123	86

An eight-unit building on Nelson Street was gutted and redeveloped into four one-bedroom accessible units and two three-bedroom units, which are now occupied.

Active resident associations now function at each development, overseen by a Resident Council. HACH management staff meets regularly with Resident Council members to hear their concerns and impart critical information regarding the development of and rehabilitation of units.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

N/A

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

The City provides direct and indirect assistance as it relates to return on residential investment:

- Habitat for Humanity and Rebuilding Together Hartford, upon request, may request a waiver of permitting and/or inspection fees as these organizations work to promote affordable homeownership and low cost home improvements, respectively.
- Hartford homeowners in danger of foreclosure are referred to counseling agencies or entities that aid with the foreclosure mediation and loan workout processes.
- Virtually all City of Hartford loans, when appropriate, can be subordinate to new debt – particularly if the new financing serves to reduce the monthly payments and improve cash flow for individual households and “affordable” projects. Subordination fees may also be waived.
- The City currently provides tax abatement relief to a number of rental housing providers. Funds saved by these providers allow them to serve low to moderate-income individuals by accepting less than “market” level rents. On an as-needed basis, property tax assessment deferrals were made to eligible developers of low-income housing. Deferrals allowed the phasing in of assessment increases over a ten-year period. When certain renovations increase the tax assessment base, homeowners of multi-family residences receiving assistance through the City’s Housing Preservation Loan Fund, may be eligible to receive assessment deferrals.
- Anti-blight tax abatements are available to owners of any vacant property in the City. Blighted properties are assessed based on their vacant state, with improvements not taxed for five years. Over the following five-year period, improvements will increase the assessment base in annual increments of 20%. Full assessment status for the improvements is not in effect until the 11th year after renovation.
- The City, in some instances, allow a bank or lender managing a foreclosed property to transfer title of said property to the City. The City, in turn, will convey to a developer, contractor, or individual with a proven record of success, the property for the purpose of returning said property into productive use.
- Many of our seniors are subject to rising costs and fixed incomes. The City of Hartford has responded in the form of property and motor vehicle tax credits and deferrals. If an individual or a spouse is age 65 or older, permanently reside in Hartford (legal residence), owns a primary residence, and meets certain income guidelines, then that household may be eligible for one or more forms of city or state financed property tax credits and/or tax deferrals. Lower tax burdens

for our fixed income citizens equates to sustained affordability from a “cost of housing” perspective. The tax exemption categories include Veteran; Spouse of a deceased Veteran; Blind; and Totally Disabled.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Fiscal Year 2016 saw Hartford’s Youth Service Corps grow from an idea to an up-and-running program, providing 200 Hartford youth with yearlong, part-time employment with flexible scheduling. As part of the program, youth complete community service-based projects. Activities completed in Fiscal Year 2016 in advance of the launch of the program at the end of Fiscal Year 2016 and the official kickoff celebration at the beginning of Fiscal Year 2017 include the following: fundraising, program design planning, hiring of an implementation partner and program staff, determining metrics for tracking outcomes, participant recruitment and training, and community engagement.

The City was successful in securing the following grant funding:

Private:

1. Disney Parks Build Community for Hartford Youth Rowing \$20,000
2. GRO1000 Award, for Hartford Grown Project with KNOX from Miracle Grow \$7,500
3. National Education Pathways with a Purpose for Hartford Coalition on Education and Talent \$10,000 from the US Conference of Mayors and USA Funds
4. Invest Health from Robert Wood Johnson and the Reinvestment Fund \$60,000

State

1. CT DEEP Electric Vehicle Charging Stations \$13,000
2. CT OPM Responsible Growth / Transit-Oriented Development \$2,000,000
3. CT OPM JAG Public Safety Technology (Shotspotter) \$300,000
4. CT DECD grant for addressing brownfields for \$85,000

Federal

1. US HHS \$525,000 Addressing HIV Care and Housing Coordination through Data Integration to Improve Health Outcomes along the HIV Care Continuum
2. US HHS Teen Pregnancy Prevention \$4,999,995
3. US BJA Intellectual Property Enforcement to protect public health, safety, and the economy. \$399,545

Hartford was awarded New England’s first Promise Zone designation in April 2015 for North Hartford, an area encompassing three Hartford neighborhoods and home to approximately one fifth of Hartford’s residents. Since receiving a Promise Zone designation, the City of Hartford has already engaged over 500 residents, connected with 50 local non-profits, and with use of the Promise Zone preference points, a benefit to the federal designation, secured over \$12.9 million in grants from five different federal

agencies. These resources will provide targeted fair housing enforcement, teen pregnancy prevention and education, increased access to healthy foods, youth employment opportunities and other efforts.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards

Housing personnel and/or a State certified lead inspector/risk assessor performed property inspections and risk assessments to determine the existence of potential lead-based paint hazards. Depending on the type and size of the project, programs require homeowners and developers to notify occupants of lead hazard evaluation and reduction activities. Clearance exams were performed on all surfaces that tested positive for lead-based paint, and on all surfaces presumed to be a lead hazard. Large projects (above \$25,000 per unit) required full abatement and ongoing maintenance through lead management plans.

Fiscal year 2015-2016 represented the fifth consecutive year of the Housing Division's interdepartmental collaboration with the Health & Human Services Department, in the administration of the Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant (LHRDG) Program. The Housing Division managed a pipeline and completed lead remediation on 23 residential properties within the City, representing a total of 61 units. Lead clearances were achieved for all 61 units. In addition, the Housing Division, through its CDBG-funded Housing Preservation Loan Fund, agreed to provide matching units and dollars in support of the aforementioned HHS LHRD Grant. HHS was able to fulfill its HUD matching requirements by taking advantage of HPLF's FY2015-2016 lead remediation activities in 4 units with \$53,727 expended.

The City's Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program assists thousands of low-to-moderate income individuals and families secure decent, affordable and safe rental housing. Housing Quality Standard (HQS) Inspections are conducted prior to unit occupancy and annually during occupancy by a voucher recipient. One of the inspectors' chief responsibilities during an inspection is to visually identify chipping, peeling or flaking paint on accessible surfaces. As a result, landlords, property owners, and property managers are obligated to correct the condition utilizing lead safe work practices.

Hundreds of inspections through our rental subsidy program have resulted in safer rental units, particularly for families with kids under the age of 6.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Actions taken includes utilizing CDBG funds to fund:

- Two programs that provided skills training that focused on enhancing residents' ability to secure existing jobs
- Four programs that provided job readiness and training
- Two programs that provide literacy training
- One program that assists individuals who are reentering society after incarceration

- Six economic development agencies that provided technical assistance to businesses to stimulate business growth and create jobs. The business technical assistance included assistance to microenterprise owners and developers of microenterprises to enter the market and/or grow their business, which create and maintain employment opportunities for extremely low to moderate income individuals.

The City's Department of Development Services has developed and will launch a program in FY 16-17 in the Parkville Neighborhood Revitalization Strategy Area (NRSA) to provide grants to for-profit businesses that will promote business development and business retention and create and maintain employment opportunities for extremely low to moderate income individuals.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

One of Mayor Luke Bronin's policy goals for Hartford is to improve the collaboration between all city departments to efficiently promote the delivery of quality customer service. In Year One, the City continued to build upon interdepartmental collaborations. The Housing Division signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the City's Health and Human Services Department (HHS) 5 years before. HHS is currently administering a \$3,000,000 Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration (LHRD) Grant awarded by HUD to remediate 205 residential units. The Housing Division manages the Bid, Closing, and Construction Management Processes for the LHRD Grant.

In addition to partnering with this department and leveraging human capital, the Housing Division, through its CDBG-funded Housing Preservation Loan Fund, provides matching units and dollars in support of the aforementioned HHS LHRD Grant until its sunset. HHS was able to fulfill its HUD matching requirements by taking advantage of HPLF's FY2015-2016 lead remediation activities in 61 units with \$840,864.00 of expenditure.

Staff members from both departments worked together with homeowners, tenants, lead consultants, and lead abatement contractors to deliver a quality product, ensure a positive experience, and realize successful lead remediation outcomes.

Mayor Bronin has made the elimination of blight and stabilization of neighborhoods and property values a benchmark of his administration. The Housing Division continues to work with the Livable and Sustainable Neighborhood Initiative (LSNI), a coordinated interdepartmental strategy that provides significant resources to combat blight. The Hartford Restoration Project (HRP) is a jointly administered anti-blight program designed to help property owners remediate blighted conditions in a cost effective manner. Participating Divisions and Departments include Housing, Health, Planning, Licenses and Inspections, the COO Office, and Corporation Counsel. Monthly meetings are held to identify blighted properties and offending owners. Strategies are discussed and decided upon based on City staffers' collective knowledge derived from inspections, customer contact, historical property data, financing options, etc.

In FY15-16, the program completed 9 anti-blight projects representing 17 units and \$192,257 in CIP municipal expenditures.

For its part, the CDBG-funded Housing Preservation Loan Fund financed the remediation of blighted conditions in 5 residential properties deemed "blighted" as defined by the City of Hartford's Anti-Blight Ordinance. Rehabilitation costs for all 5 properties (9 units) totaled \$182,885 and included repairs or replacement for dilapidated porches and garages, exteriors with chipping and peeling paint, downed gutters, cracked sidewalks and severely leaking roofs.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure (2)

People Budget - In February & March 2016, Hartford 2000, in collaboration with the City of Hartford, ran its People Budget program. Two sessions were held to inform residents of the challenges faced by the Council and the Mayor administration in funding the City many needs while honoring the statutory requirement to adopt a balanced budget. The first session was the 2017 Budget kick-off event, Mayor Luke Bronin & Melissa McCaw, Director of Management, Budget, and Grants at City of Hartford provided information about the City of Hartford 2016-17 Operating Budget presenting on expected revenues for the fiscal year and estimates of the costs of City services. The second session was a workshop offering participants the opportunity to create a balanced City budget through increasing revenues or decreasing expenditures and programs through an interactive program called Balancing Act. Participants reported-out their results to each other and several City Councilmembers present. The Program was recorded by Hartford Public Access Television and made available on H2K&is website for viewing, the website also provided a link to the Balancing Act program enabling residents to attempt to balance the budget on their own time, immediately seeing the effects of their proposed cuts and allocations.

Hartford Decide\$ (Participatory Budgeting) - In March 2016, Hartford residents cast ballots at 20 locations to decide which of the 22 resident-proposed projects would be implemented with the \$1,250,000 set aside in the City's 2016-17 Capital Budget. The only requirements for voting were to be a resident of Hartford and to be 13 years of age or older. The voting was the culmination of the year-long process which involved a total of 1,500 people in one or more capacities. The initiative began in March of 2015 with the creation of a partnership of Hartford 2000, Hartford Public Library, City of Hartford, Leadership Greater Hartford, and MetroHartford Alliance. The winning projects were: Hartford "Paint the City" for Creation of a mural and street pole banners in each of Hartford's 14 NRZs; Hartford Community Gardens - for Construction of two community gardens, one at Hartford Public High School and another at Ramon Quiros Park; Music and Dance Pod Purchase and installation of inflatable, dome-like performance spaces at Pope Park Highway, Colt Park Extension, and Parker Memorial; Cameras for Safety at Senior Centers - Purchase and installation of state-of-the art security systems in the North End Senior Center and South End Senior Wellness Center; Improving Park Lighting - Installation and replacement of park lighting with new decorative fixtures in Goodwin, Keney-Woodland, Colt, Elizabeth, and Pope Parks.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City committed and spent \$750,000 in HOME funds and saw the completion of a supportive housing project led by the Chrysalis Center, a private, non-profit, socially innovative multiservice organization that serves individuals and families living in the State of Connecticut. The project, Liberty Gardens, involved the complete renovation of a blighted building on the corner of Garden and Homestead that had been abandoned and off the tax rolls for several years. The 10-unit structure is providing safe and stable housing for formerly homeless ten families.

In addition, the City of Hartford commenced talks with Journey Home, is a non-profit organization created to lead the effort to end homelessness in the Capitol Region of Connecticut. Journey Home works with all the emergency shelter and housing organizations in the region in addition to other community partners to implement best practices and innovative solutions to homelessness. Working with Journey HOME, the City plans to create a “chronic homelessness preference” within its federally funded Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program. The partnership will allow the City to provide housing rental vouchers for chronically homeless individuals identified, vetted and referred by Journey Home. Our collective goal is to effectively eliminate chronic homelessness by the end of 2017.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

During FY 2015-2016, the City of Hartford in partnership with CT Fair Housing Center:

Actively participated in the Fair Housing Association of Connecticut (FHACT) as a Board of Directors’ member. FHACT is composed of housing advocates, representatives of municipalities, state agencies, real estate interests, lenders, and housing service agencies. The Association meets regularly to share information on the Fair Housing issues and Fair Housing updates.

Hartford resident families received technical assistance and education on fair housing issues, and were referred to other fair housing resources such as Housing Education Resource Center, CT Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities, Hartford Legal Aid, and CT Fair Housing Center.

Continued to support and provided fair housing education and outreach to community residents and to housing consumers (including home buyers, renters, home loan and insurance seekers and persons with disabilities) to educate them about their fair housing rights, how to identify housing discrimination and where to go for help if housing discrimination is encountered.

During FY 2015, the City recognized that it needed additional help in addressing the impediments to fair housing which were keeping Hartford residents from accessing the housing of their choice. As a result, the City entered into a contract which began on October 1, 2015 with the Connecticut Fair Housing Center. The following are the Center’s activities through the end of June 2016 to address the impediments to fair housing identified by the City include:

- Providing fair housing training to community agencies working with Hartford residents, residents of homeless shelters in Hartford, and residents of Hartford; 6 trainings were held providing training to 185 residents and public and private agency staff; (Action Step to Address Impediment No. 2 and 3)
- Completed fair housing intakes and provided counseling to 48 Hartford residents; (Action Step to Address Impediment No. 1)
- Provided legal representation to 10 Hartford residents on fair housing issues; (Action Step to Address Impediment No. 1)
- Assisted 5 Hartford residents in requesting reasonable accommodations and reasonable modifications; (Action Step to Address Impediment No. 7)
- Provided counseling and advice to 20 Hartford residents facing eviction; (Action Step to Address Impediment No. 1)
- Performed 10 fair housing tests to investigate claims of discrimination; (Action Step to Address Impediment No. 1)
- Distributed more than 500 copies of the Center's fair housing materials in English and Spanish to Hartford residents; (Action Step to Address Impediments No. 2 and 9)
- Held a poster contest at a Hartford schools during fair housing month; (Action Step to Address Impediment No. 2)
- Trained fair housing officers from federally subsidized housing providers in Hartford on their duties and obligations to affirmatively further fair housing. (Action Step to Address Impediment No. 8)

Additional work under this grant will include trainings for landlords and housing planners as well as continued intake, testing, investigation, and legal representation for the victims of housing discrimination.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

CDBG Program Monitoring - Grants Administration monitored all activities and subrecipients in Year One to ensure compliance with applicable federal, state, and local regulations and consistency with the priority needs and specific objectives of the Five-Year Consolidated Community Development Plan. Monitoring continues to reinforce the communication between the City and the various agencies and Subrecipients participating directly in the implementation of the Consolidated Plan or assisting in the provision of services supporting the Plan's strategies. Monitoring is an ongoing process of planning, implementation, communication and follow-up, during which time all activities receive some level of monitoring with a formal in-depth monitoring being conducted on a certain number of subrecipients.

The monitoring process includes a risk analysis methodology that determines whether a desk audits or an in-depth site visit will be conducted by the contract manager to help ensure accountability, compliance, and adequate progress from the various activities funded as a part of the City's CDBG activities.

Preparing for an in-depth monitoring visit included a review of the subrecipient's application for funding, the written agreement, reports and drawdown requests and any prior monitoring reports and audits. A notification letter was then sent to the subrecipient confirming the date of the visit, identifying who will participate in the monitoring, what documents were to be examined and what would be covered; it also included which staff or board member was required to be present and a request for office space with the length of time the monitoring was expected to last. To aid in this effort, contract managers also utilized relevant exhibits in the HUD-Community Planning and Development Monitoring Handbook in addition to program observation and participant feedback.

In addition to programmatic monitoring, Grants Administration prepared and submitted to HUD the "Minority Business Enterprises Contract and Sub-contract Activity Report" (Minority Businesses that receive CDBG funds) and the "Semi-Annual Labor Standard Enforcement Report" (contracts/projects subject to Davis-Bacon and Related Acts/Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act).

The City of Hartford has established and oversees a minority outreach program through its Procurement Office. The Minority/Women Business Enterprise Program is a certification program that permits minority and women owned businesses to participate in the City of Hartford set-aside programs. The set-aside program is in accordance with CT General Statutes, 4a-60(g), which was established for the purpose of assuring that Connecticut small and minority owned businesses have an opportunity to bid on a portion of the City's purchases.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

Upon completion of a Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER), notice of its availability for public review will be published in a newspaper having a general circulation and at least two (2) other newspapers, having general local circulation, one of which will be a Spanish newspaper, advising the public of the submission and availability of such documents. Copies will be made available for public review in the Office of Central Grants (550 Main Street, 3rd Floor, Hartford), and at the Hartford Public Library Main Branch (500 Main Street, Hartford). Members of the public wishing to view these documents should contact the Office of Central Grants to request an appointment. In addition, proposed and final versions of CAPER will be placed on the City's web site, www.hartford.gov/grants, so affected citizens have sufficient opportunity to review it and provide comments.

The Office of Central Grants will provide a period of not less than fifteen (15) calendar days to receive citizen comments and views on the CAPER. All comments and views received in writing, by telephone or in person will be taken into consideration and a summary of all comments and views will be attached to the CAPER.

Records, documents and information relating to the program during the preceding four (4) years are also available at the Office of Central Grants. These documents are available to any member of the public who requests a copy in accordance with Freedom of Information Act requirements.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction’s program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

No changes were made to the jurisdiction's program objectives in Year One.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?	No
--	----

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

One of the City's core goals is to provide decent safe and sanitary housing at an affordable cost to low income families. Housing Quality Standards help HUD, PHA, and municipal housing divisions accomplish this goal by defining "standard housing" and establishing the minimum quality criteria necessary for the health and safety of residents. The 13 key housing quality aspects are as follows: Sanitary facilities, Food preparation and refuse disposal, Space and security, Thermal environment, Illumination and electricity, Structure and material, Interior air quality, Water supply, Lead-based paint, Access, Site and neighborhood, Sanitary condition and Smoke detectors.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

Through its Department of Development Services, the City of Hartford will inform the public, potential tenants and homebuyers, and property developer/owner about this policy and Federal fair housing laws. The City has:

- Informed the general public about Federal fair housing laws and the City of Hartford's HOME Affirmative Marketing Policy by placing information on the City's Website within the Department of Development Services Housing Division Webpage that describes the HOME Program.
- Every recipient of HOME funds received the City's Affirmative Marketing Policies and Procedures as an attachment to their HOME loan/grant agreement. The recipients may be considered to be in default of their HOME agreement if they do not carry out these procedures.
- Made copies of the HOME Affirmative Marketing Policy and Procedure document available at the City's Housing Division office

During Year One, the City solicited an annual Affirmative Marketing report from property owners for rental properties with five or more HOME-assisted units. Nineteen owners responded to this request for marketing data and no follow up was required.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

Celina working on this.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

The City of Hartford upon request and approval by the City Council, customarily **waives a portion or all permit fees** for residential properties built or renovated by Habitat for Humanity, Hartford Housing Authority, and Rebuilding Together Hartford, Inc. The aforementioned entities regularly engage in the following housing activities respectively: development of affordable homeownership housing, development and maintenance of affordable rental housing, and the repair of existing owner-occupied housing stock for very low and low residents. Assistance is aimed specifically at <50% AMI end-users in many cases.

The City allocated \$200,000 in CDBG dollars to Rebuilding Together Hartford (RBTH), a non-profit organization dedicated to helping **low-income, elderly, and disabled homeowners** perform a variety of critical and necessary home repairs at **no cost**. In FY 15-16, RBTH assisted 56 qualified homeowners, the majority of which are under 50% Area Median Income. Many recipients of this assistance could not afford to cover both monthly housing expenses AND the cost of needed repairs.

One of the largest barriers to affordable homeownership involves the inability of many households to save funds for a downpayment. The HouseHartford Homebuyer Assistance program eliminates this obstacle by providing a **0% forgivable loan to cover up to 20% of the sales price** for a home, in many cases eliminating private mortgage insurance. The Program also ensured that all participants of the program demonstrated a housing expense to income ratio of between 25% and 33%, ensuring sustainable affordability for 5, 10 or 15 years.

The cost to develop affordable homeownership housing units is often higher than the fair market value of the property at time of sale. To facilitate affordable housing development, the City utilizes CCEDA funds to fill the appraisal gap of homeownership units – enabling low/moderate-income families to purchase homes in Hartford. Dutch Point and Capewell Townhomes homebuyers benefited from the funds provided to the owner/developer, which made the development of the Dutch Point and Capewell Townhomes projects economically feasible and affordable for prospective buyers.

The City also helps to minimize the barrier of affordable housing as it relates to the exceptionally high cost of maintaining and rehabilitating the City's aging housing stock. For FY15-16, the City assisted 41 owners with low-interest loans through the Housing Preservation Loan Fund Program (HPLF) This assistance provided rehabilitation or repair of 73 units - 41 owner-occupied units and 32 rental units. Loans are repayable at 2 and 4 percent for owner-occupants and investors, respectively. Moreover, 9 of the 41 property owners assisted were over-income (>80% AMI) or investors. As a result of receiving HPLF funds, these owners were required to rent a total of 13 units to low/moderate income households

whose monthly rent cannot exceed an amount deemed “affordable” by HUD and the City of Hartford for the term of the loan. Owner- occupants under 50% AMI are eligible for our deferred loan with no monthly payments and a 0 percent interest rate. Credit worthiness and transaction costs are not barriers as all loans feature relaxed underwriting criteria, no minimum credit score, no closing costs, no appraisals, and no prepayment penalties. If owning a home is the American Dream, the HPLF Program is the cost effective way to help low to moderate-income homeowners and investors maintain that dream once it is achieved.

CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

Number of Households Served Through:	One-year Goal	Actual
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance to prevent homelessness of the individual or family	10	0
Tenant-based rental assistance	50	0
Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	10	0
Units provided in transitional short-term housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	60	0

Table 14 – HOPWA Number of Households Served

Narrative

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	HARTFORD
Organizational DUNS Number	004534707
EIN/TIN Number	066001870
Identify the Field Office	HARTFORD
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	Hartford CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Mr
First Name	LIONEL
Middle Name	0
Last Name	RIGLER
Suffix	0
Title	SENIOR PROJECT MANAGER

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	550 MAIN STREET
Street Address 2	0
City	HARTFORD
State	CT
ZIP Code	06103-2913
Phone Number	8607579277
Extension	0
Fax Number	0
Email Address	RIGL001@HARTFORD.ORG

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	Ms
First Name	MILLICENT
Last Name	MEADOWS
Suffix	0
Title	Project Manager
Phone Number	8607579018
Extension	79018
Email Address	MEADM001@HARTFORD.GOV

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 07/01/2015
Program Year End Date 06/30/2016

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: AIDS CONNECTICUT
City: Hartford
State: CT
Zip Code: 06106, 2202
DUNS Number: 101001000
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 63245

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	65
Children	25
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	90

Table 15 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 16 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	2,480
Children	236
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	2,716

Table 17 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 18 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	2,545
Children	261
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	2,806

Table 19 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	2,067
Female	737
Transgender	2
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	2,806

Table 20 - Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	259
18-24	239
25 and over	2,306
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	2
Total	2,806

Table 21 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	158	0	0	158
Victims of Domestic Violence	183	10	0	173
Elderly	108	3	0	105
HIV/AIDS	82	22	0	60
Chronically Homeless	493	0	0	491
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill	875	24	0	851
Chronic Substance Abuse	769	10	0	759
Other Disability	1,134	22	0	1,112
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	2,806	90	0	2,716

Table 22 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	146,270
Total Number of bed-nights provided	114,413
Capacity Utilization	78.22%

Table 23 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	98,441	87,022	15,422
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	83,165	48,760	16,614
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	44,320	41,762	22,646
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	9,471	11,186	8,563
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	235,397	188,730	63,245

Table 24 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	0	0	0

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Essential Services	0	0	0
Operations	153,610	178,777	0
Renovation	0	0	0
Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	153,610	178,777	0

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
HMIS	0	0	0
Administration	1,664	0	0
Street Outreach	0	0	0

Table 27 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2013	2014	2015
758,178	390,671	367,507	0

Table 28 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2013	2014	2015
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	0
Other Federal Funds	0	574,924	0
State Government	2,211,650	1,347,359	0
Local Government	192,000	92,000	0
Private Funds	950,865	1,099,728	0
Other	337,115	58,971	0
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	3,691,630	3,172,982	0

Table 29 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2013	2014	2015
7,622,790	4,082,301	3,540,489	0

Table 30 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

Attachment

ESG program - IDIS report

Providers (with Results)
City of Hartford ESG Program (HP)(1336)
Community Renewal Team (CRT) - McKinney Shelter (ES)(IND)(SM)(14)
Immaculate Conception - No-Freeze (ES)(IND)(SM)(739)
Immaculate Conception & Shelter (ES)(IND)(SM)(6)
Open Hearth Association - Emergency Shelter (ES)(IND)(503)
Salvation Army MH - Family Shelter (ES) (FAM)(477)
Salvation Army MH - Women and Family Overflow (ES)(FAM)(572)
South Park Inn - Emergency Shelter (ES)(FAM)(1290)
South Park Inn - Emergency Shelter (ES) (IND)(SMF)(1289)
YWCA Of The Hartford Region- Shelter (ES)(IND)(4)

Source:	CW DOH Report, CW CAPER, CW APR
Requester:	Lionel Rigler
Ticket:	87724
Data Requested:	City of Hartford ESG
Date of Request:	8/9/2016
Date Delivered:	8/29/2016

Note: there is a significant decrease in the ES count from the 2015 report vs. the 2016 report. This decrease is most likely due to data clean up and better data management since the

Note: there is a significant decrease in the number of Chronic Homeless in ES. This is most likely due to the change in how Chronic Homelessness is calculated. The new HUD standard is more restrictive.

Note: On the Utilization Detail page, see the two highlighted programs; see comments

Report Options	
ESG CAPER Report Period	07/01/2015 to 06/30/2016

Sub Report	A	B	C	D	E
Program Type	Homeless Prevention	Rapid Re-Housing	Shelter	Outreach	Total
Distinct Client Count	90	0	2716	0	2806

Table 4	A	B	C	D	E
	Homeless Prevention	Rapid Re-Housing	Shelter	Outreach	Total
Adults	65	0	2480	0	2545
Children	25	0	236	0	261
Don't Know/Refused	0	0	0	0	0
Missing Information	0	0	0	0	0
Total	90	0	2716	0	2806

Table 5	A	B	C	D	E
Gender	Homeless Prevention	Rapid Re-Housing	Shelter	Outreach	Total
Male	28	0	2039	0	2067
Female	62	0	675	0	737
Transgendered	0	0	2	0	2
Don't Know/Refused	0	0	0	0	0
Missing Information	0	0	0	0	0
Total	90	0	2716	0	2806

Table 6	A	B	C	D	E
Age	Homeless Prevention	Rapid Re-Housing	Shelter	Outreach	Total
Under 18	23	0	236	0	259
18-24	9	0	230	0	239
25 and Over	56	0	2250	0	2306
Don't Know/Refused	0	0	0	0	0
Missing Information	2	0	0	0	2
Total	90	0	2716	0	2806

Table 7	A	B	C	D	E
Special Populations	Homeless Prevention	Rapid Re-Housing	Shelter	Outreach	Total
Veterans	0	0	158	0	158
Domestic Violence	10	0	173	0	183
Elderly	3	0	105	0	108
HIV / AIDS	22	0	60	0	82
Chronic Homelessness	2	0	491	0	493
Persons with					
Severely Mentally Ill	24	0	851	0	875
Chronic Substance	10	0	759	0	769
Other Disability	22	0	1112	0	1134

Race			
Category	Total Prevention & RRH	Total Shelter	Total All
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	70	71
Asian	0	19	19
Black or African American	69	1298	1367
Multi-Racial	0	22	22
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1	43	44
White	11	1167	1178
Client Doesn't Know	0	91	91
Client Refused	0	0	0
Missing	8	6	14
Totals	90	2716	2806

Ethnicity			
Category	Total Prevention & RRH	Total Shelter	Total All
Hispanic or Latino	28	958	986
Non-Hispanic or Latino	62	1749	1811
Client Doesn't Know	0	2	2
Missing	0	7	7
Grand Total	90	2716	2806

8. Shelter Utilization	Total
Number of New Units – Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units – Conversion	0
Total Number of bed - nights available	131,630
Total Number of bed - nights provided	114,413
Capacity Utilization	87%

Actual total for number of beds * number of days beds available

Actual count of shelter stays

Details	Total
Overflow Bed Availabilty Dates	NA
Total Number of Days Available	340.00
Total Units	0
Totals Beds	408

Average based on average days available

One program is a no freeze only, open 132 days

Total count of available beds

Provider	Town	10YP	Cong Dist	HPR P	PIT	County	Values Unique Clients	HMIS Beds	Bed Utilization	BedNights Available	Total Stays	actual days	PIT Beds	comments
Community Renewal Team (CRT) - McKinney Shelter (ES) (SM)(14)	Hartford	Htfd	1	4	Hartford	H	644	91	89%	33,306	29,499	366	78	
Immaculate Conception No-Freeze (49)(739)	Hartford	Htfd	1	4	Hartford	H	261	70	74%	9,240	6,848	132	75	
Immaculate Conception Shelter & Housing Corp. (ES) (SM)(6)	Hartford	Htfd	1	4	Hartford	H	244	75	96%	26,132	25,176	366	75	
Open Hearth Association - Emergency Shelter(503)	Hartford	Htfd	1	4	Hartford	H	416	25	93%	9,150	8,488	366	25	
Salvation Army MH - Women and Family Overflow (ES)(FAM)	Hartford	Htfd	1	4	Hartford	H	124	23	48%	8,418	4,060	366	23	Data input issue that has been addressed
Salvation Army MH - Family Shelter(477)	Hartford	Htfd	1	4	Hartford	H	171	27	91%	9,882	9,018	366	27	
South Park Inn - Emergency Shelter (ES) (IND)(SMF)	Hartford	Htfd	1	4	Hartford	H	1,049	74	82%	27,084	22,212	366	74	
South Park Inn - Emergency Shelter (ES)(FAM)	Hartford	Htfd	1	4	Hartford	H	145	11	109%	4,026	4,392	366	11	
YWCA Of The Hartford Region- Shelter(4)	Hartford	Htfd	1	4	Hartford	H	105	12	107%	4,392	4,720	366	12	
								408	88%	131,630	114,413	340		